

Summary Action Report

Structure Name: Big Bay Culvert

MTO Site Number: Unknown Structure ID: K-0009

Bridge Condition Index (BCI): 29.6

Road Name:	Big Bay Sideroad		
Location:	Lot 37/38 – Colpoy Range Keppel	Inspection Date:	June 3, 2024
Structure Type:	SPCSP Culvert	Inspected By:	Jesse Borges, P.Eng.
No. of Spans:	Single Span	Spans Lengths:	2.5m
Road Width:	6.5m	Overall Structure Length:	19.3m
Year of Construction:	1970	Current Load Limit:	N/A



Overall Comments:

The structure appears to be in overall critical condition with large perforations and evidence of active overstressing of the culvert barrel walls. There are two large perforations noted at the north and south walls of the culvert. The north perforation measures $4.0 \text{ m} \times 0.3 \text{ m}$, which has caused the north wall of the barrel to settle and slip below the invert. Bolt hole cracking and crimping of the barrel corrugations was also noted along the south wall. Due to the presents of these deficiencies, the structure appears to be exhibiting buckling / failure issues and urgent replacement of the culvert (within 1 year) is recommended.

	Estimated Costs for Rehabilitation									
Construction Project Type	Urgent, Within 1 Year	1 to 5 Years	6 to 10 Years	Associated Costs	Contingencies and Engineering Costs	Total				
Replacement	\$205,000			\$195,000	\$110,000	\$505,000				

Ontario Structure Inspection Manual – Inspection Form Structure ID: K-0009



Inventory Data:	Inventory Data:								
Structure Name	Big Bay Culv	Big Bay Culvert							
Main Hwy/Road #				□ On Crossing □ Under Type:		□ Navig. Water ⊠ Non-Navig. Water □ Rail □ Road □ Ped. □ Other			
Hwy/Road Name	Big Bay Side	road							
Structure Location	Lot 37/38 – 0	Colpoy Ra	ange, Keppel – 7	50m n	orth of Cape F	Road			
Latitude:	44.786207		Longi	tude:	-80.950072				
Owner(s):	The Townshi Georgian Blu	p of uffs	Her Designa	ritage ation:	⊠ Not Cor	ns. □Co IDesig./r	ons./not App not List 🛛 I	p. □List/not Desig. Desig. & List	
MTO Region:	30		Road C	class:	□ Freeway	□ Arteria	al 🗆 Colleo	ctor 🗵 Local	
MTO District:	33		Posted Sp	peed:	80km/h	No	of Lanes:	2	
Old County:	County of G	rey	ļ	ADT:			% Trucks:		
Geographic Twp.:	Keppel		Inspectior	n Route	e Sequence:	e:			
Structure Type:	SPCSP			Inter	change No.:				
Total Deck Length:	19.3m		Interchange Structure No.:						
Overall Str. Width:	2.5m		Min Vertical Clearanc		l Clearance:				
Total Deck Area:	48.3m ²		Special Routes: 🛛 Tran		sit □T	ruck 🗆 Sc	hool 🗆 Bicycle		
Roadway Width:	6.5m		Detour Length Around Bridge		ound Bridge:	5.5km			
Skew Angle:	30°		Direction of Struct		of Structure:	E-W			
No. of Spans:	1			Fill o	ill on Structure: 1.0m				
Span Lengths:	2.5								
Historical Data:				1			1		
	Year Built:	1970		Year	of Last Major	Rehab:	lehab: Unknown		
Last OSIM	Last OSIM Inspection: 2022			L	ast Load Evaluation:		N/A		
Last Enhanced OSIM Inspection: N/A				Current Load Limit:		N/A			
Enhanced Access Equipment (ladder, boat, lift, etc.): None		None	Load		_oad Limit By-Law #:		N/A		
Last Underwater Inspection: N/A		N/A			By-Law Expir	y Date:	N/A		
Last Cond	Last Condition Survey: N/A								
Rehab History (Date and Description): No rehabilitation history provided by Township.									



Fie	ld Inspection Information	:							
	Date of Inspection:	2024/06/03	IM						
	Inspector:	Jesse Borges, P.Eng.	esse Borges, P.Eng.						
	Others in Party:	David DeBoer, E.I.T.	David DeBoer, E.I.T.						
	Access Equipment Used:								
	Weather:	Sunny, 20°C							
		•			D · · ·				
Add	Additional Investigations Required:				Priority		Estimated		
			None	Normal	Urgent	Cost			
Material Condition Survey				Х					
Detailed Deck Condition Survey:				Х					
	Non-destructive Delam. Su	urvey of Asphalt-Cove	red Deck:	Х					
	Concrete Substructure Co	ndition Survey:		Х					
	Detailed Coating Condition	n Survey:		Х					
	Detailed Timber Investigati	on		Х					
	Post-Tensioned Strand Inve	estigation		Х					
Une	derwater Investigation:			Х					
Fat	igue Investigation:			Х					
Seismic Investigation:				Х					
Structure Evaluation:				Х					
Monitoring (deformations, settlements, movements, crack widths):			s, crack widths):			If Req.	TBD		
Load Posting – Estimated Load						Total Cost	TBD		
Inve	estigation Notes: Monitorin	g of deformations rec	ommended if structu	re is not re	placed in 2024	4.			

Overall Structure Notes:	
Overall Comments:	The structure appears to be in overall critical condition with large perforations and evidence of active overstressing of the culvert barrel walls. There are two large perforations noted at the north and south walls of the culvert. The north perforation measures 4.0m x 0.3m, which has caused the north wall of the barrel to settle and slip below the invert. Bolt hole cracking and crimping of the barrel corrugations was also noted along the south wall. Due to the presents of these deficiencies, the structure appears to be exhibiting buckling / failure issues and urgent replacement of the culvert (within 1 year) is recommended.
Date of Next Inspection:	2026

Suspected Performance Deficiencies

- 01 Load carrying capacity
- **02** Excessive deformations (deflections & rotations)
- 03 Continuing settlement
- 04 Continuing movements
- **05** Seized bearings

Maintenance Needs

- 01 Lift and Swing Bridge Maintenance
- 02 Bridge Cleaning
- 03 Bridge Handrail Maintenance
- 04 Painting Steel Bridge Structures
- 05 Bridge Deck Joint Repair
- 06 Bridge Bearing Maintenance

- 06 Bearing not uniformly loaded/unstable
- **07** Jammed expansion joint
- 08 Pedestrian/vehicular hazard
- 09 Rough riding surface
- **10** Surface ponding
- 11 Deck drainage
- 07 Repair to Structural Steel
- 08 Repair of Bridge Concrete
- 09 Repair of Bridge Timber
- **10** Bailey bridges Maintenance
- 11 Animal/Pest Control
- **12** Bridge Surface Repair

- Slippery surfaces
- 13 Flooding/channel blockage
- 14 Undermining of foundation
- 15 Unstable embankments
- 16 Other

12

- **13** Erosion Control at Bridges
- 14 Concrete Sealing
- 15 Rout and Seal
- **16** Bridge Deck Drainage
- 17 Scaling (Loose Concrete or ACR Steel)
- 18 Other

PEL Project No. 24017 The Township of Georgian Bluffs

Ontario Structure Inspection Manual – Inspection Form Structure ID: K-0009



Element Data

Element Group:	Approach		Length:		6.5m			
Element Name:	Wearing Surface		Width:		10m	10m		
Location:	Each Side		Height:					
Material:	Asphalt		Count:		2			
Element Type:			Total Qu	antity:	130m ²			
Environment:	Benign / Moderate /	Severe	Limited	Inspection				
Protection System:				Perform				Perform
Condition	Units	Exc	Good	Fa	Eair Poor Deficie			Deficiencies
Data: m ²	m/each/%/all	EXO	130					None
Comments: Wearing surface appears to be in overall good condition with no deficiencies noted.								
Recommended Work:	🗆 Rehab	🗵 Replace		Maintenan	ce Needs	6:	None	
⊠U	rgent 🛛 1-5 years 🗆	6-10 years	е	🗆 Urgent	🗆 1 year	🗆 2 ye	ar	
Wearing Surface will be	e replaced during the c	ulvert replacement	•					
Element Group:	Approach		Length:		140m			
Element Name:	Barriers		Width:					
Location:	Each Quadrant		Height:					
Material:			Count:		1			
Element Type:	nent Type: 3-Cable Post-Tension Guiderail			uantity:	140m			
Environment:	Benign / Moderate /	Severe	Limited	Inspection				
Protection System:								Perform.
Condition	Units	Exc.	Good	Fa	nir	Po	oor	Deficiencies
Data: m ²	' m /each/%/all		140					None
Recommended Work:	w end treatments durin	g the culvert replac	cement to imp	prove the saf	e guardra ety of the ce Needs	roadwa	y. None	
⊠U	rgent 🗌 1-5 years 🗌	6-10 years 🛛 Non	e	🗆 Urgent 🗆 1 year 🗆 2 year				
Guiderail system to be	replaced during the cu	lvert replacement.						
Element Group:	Decks		Length:		6.5m			
Element Name:	Wearing Surface		Width:		2.5m			
Location:	Over Structure		Height:					
Material:	Asphalt		Count:		1			
Element Type:	· ·		Total Qu	antity:	16.3m ²			
Environment:	Benign / Moderate /	Severe	Limited	Inspection				
Protection System:								Perform.
Condition	Units	Exc.	Good	Fa	nir	Po	or	Deficiencies
Data: $m^2/m/each/\%/all$		16.3					None	
Comments: Wearing surface appears to be in overall good condition with no deficiencies noted.								
Recommended Work:	🗆 Rehab	🗵 Replace		Maintenan	ce Needs	s:	None	
× 1	rgent 1-5 vears	6-10 years	е	Urgent	1 vear	2 ve	ar	
Wearing surface will be replaced during the culvert replacement. Costed under Approach Element.					, 541			



Element Data

Element Group:	Culvert		Length	Length:		19.3m		
Element Name:	Barrels	Barrels V			2.5m			
Location:		Height:			1.75m			
Material:	Corrugated Steel		Count:		1			
Element Type:	Multi- Plate Pipe		Total Q	uantity:	135m ²			
Environment:	Benign / Moderate	/ Severe	Limited	I Inspection				
Protection System:	Hot Dip Galvanizing		•					Perform.
Condition	Units	Exc.	Good	Fa	air	Poo	r	Deficiencies
Data: m ²	/m/each/%/all					135	5	02
Comments: The barre	is in overall poor cond	ition and requires i	urgent replac	ement. The cu	ulvert ha	s a large pe	erforatio	on (4.0m x 0.2m)
along the north wall o	the barrel at mid-lengt	h. Due to the perfo	oration, the no	orth wall of th	e barrel i	s settling a	nd begi	nning to slide
beneath the invert. Th	e culvert also has a larg	e perforation (3.0n	n x 0.1m) alor	ng the south v	vall of the	e barrel at	mid-len	gth. The south wall
of the barrel is also ex	nibiting bolt hole cracki	ng at the mid bolt I	line and the a	djacent corru	igations a	are beginni	ing to cr	imp (6.0m of
barrel). The west end	of the culvert has exper	enced impact dan	nage reducing	g the hydrauli	c capaci	ty of the sti	ructure.	
Recommended Work:	🗆 Rehab	🗵 Replace		Mainten	ance Ne	eds: I	None	
× (lrgent 🛛 1-5 years 🗌	6-10 years 🛛 No	one	🗆 Urgent	🗆 1 year	🗆 2 year		
Recommend the repla	cement of the structur	e within 1 year.						
Element Group:	Embankments and	Streams	Length					
Element Name:	Embankments		Width:					
Location:	Each Quadrant		Height		<u> </u>			
Material:	Soll		Count:		4			
Element Type:	Devise (Madavata	/ 0	Iotal Q	otal Quantity: 4				
Environment:	Benign / Moderate	Severe	Limited	Inspection				
Protection System:		I I						Perform.
Condition	Units	Exc.	Good	Fair		Poo	r	Deficiencies
Data: m ²	/ m / each / % / all		3	1	None			None
Comments: Embankn	ients are in overall good	to fair condition.	The northwes	t embankme	nt has m	inor failure	e of the s	slope protection
with some geotextile e	xposed.							
Recommended Work:	🗆 Rehab	🗵 Replace		Mainten	ance Ne	eds: I	None	
× (lrgent 🛛 1-5 years 🗌	6-10 years 🗵 No	ne	🗆 Urgent	🗆 1 year	🗆 2 year	•	
Replace embankmen	s during culvert replace	ement.						
Elomont Group:	Embankmonts and	Strooms	Longth					
Element Name:	Stroome and Water		Width	•				
	Streams and Water	vays	Hoight					
Location.								
Flomont Type:			Total O	uontity:	A11			
Element Type.	Bonign / Moderate	/ Sovoro	limitor	Unoncotion	Au			
Brotaction System:	Demgin/ Modelate	Severe	Linited	ппаресноп				Dorform
Protection System.	Linita	Биа	Cood			Dee		Periorni.
Condition	Units	EXC.	Good	Fa	air	P00	r	Deficiencies
Data: m ²	/m/each/%/ all		1					None
Comments:								
Watercourse appears to be in overall good condition.								
<u> </u>								
Recommended Work:	🗆 Rehab	🗆 Replace		Mainten	ance Ne	eds: I	None	
□U	rgent 🗌 1-5 years 🗌 6	6-10 years 🛛 Non	е	Urgent 1 year 2 year				



Performance Deficiencies										
Element Group		Element Name	Perforr	nance Deficiency						
Culvert		Barrels	02 - Excessive Deformatior							
Maintenance Needs	Maintenance Needs									
Element Group		Element Name	Ма	intenance Needs						
Repair/Rehabilitation										
Element Group	Element Name	Repair/Rehabilitation	Priority	Cost Estimate						
Approach	Barriers	Install Steel Beam Barrier System	Urgent	\$65,000						
Approach	Wearing Surface	Remove and Repave Roadway	Urgent	\$20,000						
Culverts	Barrels	Remove and Install new SPCSP Culvert	Urgent	\$120,000						
Total Repair/Rehabilitation Cost:										
Associated Work										
Comments										
Site Mob./Demob.				\$30,000						
Traffic Control		Assuming full roadway closure with c	letour route.	\$10,000						
Approaches		Restore embankments with rip-rap a	\$20,000							
Utilities		Utility Protection During Construction	\$5,000							
Right-of-way										
Background Studies		Geotechnical, Hydrology, Hydraulics	\$40,000							
Environmental Assessme	ent	Assume Schedule 'A' (Exempt)								
Worksite Isolation and De	ewatering	End Cofferdams and Water Diversion	n Pipe	\$80,000						
Environmental Protection										
Other										
		Contin	ngencies (15%):	\$55,000						
Engineering (15%):										
Total Associated Work Cost:										
Total Cost:										

Justification:

Replacement of the structure is recommended to ensure the safety of the public. The structure is exhibiting signs of overstressing and buckling which we anticipate will worsen over time with continued traffic use and further deterioration of the culvert barrel. This will also give the Township an opportunity to improve the safety of the roadway by installing a new steel beam guiderail system over the structure.

If the structure is not replaced before the end of 2024, we recommend that the structure be monitored on a regular basis by a qualified individual until construction can be completed.



PEARSON ENGINEERING

PHOTO REPORT

Township of Georgian Bluffs K-0009: Big Bay Culvert PROJECT NO. 24017



Photo 1 - View of Structure Facing South.



Photo 3 - View of Barrel Facing East.

Date of Photos: June 3, 2024

Inspector: Jesse Borges, P.Eng.

www.pearsoneng.com Barrie GTA Ottawa Owen Sound



Photo 2 - View of West Culvert End.



Photo 4 - View of Large Perforation on North Side of the Culvert.

1



PEARSON ENGINEERING

PHOTO REPORT Township of Georgian Bluffs

K-0009: Big Bay Culvert PROJECT NO. 24017



Photo 5 - View of Large Perforation on South Side of the Culvert.



Photo 7 - View of Bolt Hole Cracking on South Wall of Barrel.



Photo 6 - View of Local Buckling of Corrugations North Side of Barrel.



Photo 8 - View of Damaged Invert - West End.

Date of Photos: June 3, 2024

Inspector: Jesse Borges, P.Eng.

2